BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
3 1761 10083687 3

COMMON MARKET LAW

PROFESSOR W.C. GRAHAM

Volume II

1988-89

The materials reproduced in this casebook are for the use of the students at the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto, and are not for commercial sale.

Edited and Selected by:

Professor W.C. Graham
Steven Rapkin

LAW LIBRARY

SEP 2 3 1988

FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

FACULTY OF LAW LIBRARY
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

COMMON MARKET LAW

PROFESSOR W.C. GRAHAM

Volume II

1988-89

The materials reproduced in this casebook are for the use of the students at the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto, and are not for commercial sale.

Edited and Selected by:

Professor W.C. Graham
Steven Rapkin

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

https://archive.org/details/commonmarketlaw02grah_3

VOLUME II

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE #
A.	**INTRODUCTORY MATERIALS**	
1.	McLachlan & Swann, Competition Policy in the European Community, (1967)	1
2.	Commission of the European Communities, First Report on Competition Policy, (1971)	7
3.	Dennis Swann, "Definitions and Distinctions", in Competition and Industrial Policy in the European Community, (1983), pp. 1-9	8
B.	**ARTICLE 85**	
1.	**INTRODUCTION**	13
2.	**RELEVANT AND COMPARATIVE LEGISLATION**	
i)	E.E.C. Treaty (Rome), Article 85	17
ii)	E.E.C. Council Regulation 15	18
iii)	Competition Act (Canada), ss.32, 32.01, 32.1, 34, 38, 47-49, 57-62	24
iv)	Sherman Act (United States) , s.1	30
v)	Clayton Act (United States), ss. 8, 13, 14	30
3.	**CASE LAW**	
(a)	**Basic Issues**	
i)	L.T.M. v. M.B.U., (1966) CMLR 357	33
ii)	Establissements Consten and Grundig v. E.C. Commission, (1966) CMLR 418	40
iii)	Grundig A.G. v. Metro-SB-Grossmarkte GmbH., (1979) 2 CMLR 564	51
iv)	Metro-SB-Grossmarkte GmbH & Co. KG v. E.C. Commission (No. 2) (Case 75/84), (1987) 48 CMLR 118 (headnote only)	57
v)	White Motors v. U.S., (1962) 372 U.S. 253	59
vi)	U.S. v. Guerlain, (1957) F. Supp. 77	63
vii)	Italy v. E.C. Commission, (1969) CMLR 39	66
viii)	Vereeniging van Cementhandelaren v. E.C. Commission, (1973) CMLR 7	70
ix)	A. Alchian, "Words: Musical or Meaningful" (excerpts)	73
x)	Commission Notice of December 18, 1978, Concerning its Assessment of Certain Sub-contracting Agreements in Relation to Article 85(1) of the E.E.C. Treaty	78

PAGE #

(b) **Multiple Agreements, Concerted Practices and De Minimus Agreements**

i) Brasserie de Haecht S.A. v. Wilkin, (1968) CMLR 26 80

ii) Re Kodak, (1970) CMLR D19 82

iii) I.C.I. v. E.C. Commission, (1972) CMLR 557 85

iv) Re Floral Dungemittel Verkaufs Gesellschaft mblt., (1980) 2 CMLR 285 100

v) Commission Notice (July 29, 1968) on Agreements, Decisions and Concerted Practices Concerning Cooperation Between Enterprises 104

vi) Volk v. ETS Vervaecke, (1969) CMLR 273 106

vii) S.A. Cadillon v. Firma Hoss Maschinenbau K.G., (1971) CMLR 420 110

viii) Commission Notice of September 3, 1986, Concerning Agreements of Minor Importance Which Do Not Fall under Article 85(1) of the Treaty Establishing the European Economic Community 113

(c) **Rule of Reason v. Per Se**

i) Joliet, Rule in Reason in Anti-Trust Law, Faculté de Droit, Liège (1967) 115

ii) U.S. v. American Tobacco Co., (1911), 221 U.S. 106 122

iii) Appalachian Coals Inc. v. U.S., (1933), 288 U.S. 344 124

iv) Howard Smith Paper Mills v. R. (1957) S.C.R. 403 127

v) Kaysen & Turner, Anti-Trust Policy, (1959), Harvard University Press 133

vi) U.S. v. Socony-Vacuum Oil Co., (1940), 310 U.S. 150 134

(d) **Article 85(3) (Legislation)**

i) Council Regulation 19/65 On Application of Article 85(3) of the Treaty to Certain Categories of Agreements and Concerted Practices 139

ii) Commission Regulation 67/67 On the Application of Article 85(3) of the Treaty to Certain Categories of Exclusive Dealing Agreements 141

iii) Council Regulation 2821/71 On Application of Article 85(3) of the Treaty to Categories of Agreements, Decisions and Concerted Practices 142

iv) Commission Regulation 2779/72 On the Application of Article 85(3) of the Treaty to Categories of Specialization Agreements 143

PAGE #

v) Commission Regulation 1983/83 On the Application of Article 85(3) of the Treaty to Categories of Specialization Agreements 146

vi) Commission Regulation 1984/83 On the Application of Article 85(3) of the Treaty to Categories of Exclusive Purchasing Agreements 147

vii) Commission Regulation 417/85 On the Application of Article 85(3) of the Treaty to Categories of Specialization Agreements 150

viii) Commission Regulation 418/85 On the Application of Article 85(3) of the Treaty to Categories of R & D Agreements 152

ix) Note re Draft Regulations Concerning Application of Article 85(3) of the Treaty to Categories of Franchising Agreements and Know-How Agreements 156

(Cases)

x) Grundig and Consten v. E.C. Commission (infra) 157

xi) Pronuptia de Paris GmbH v. Pronuptia de Paris Irmgard Schillgalis, (Case No. 161/84) (Commission Decision of December 17, 1986) (Case is at CCH para. 14, 245) 157

xii) Re Campari, (December 23, 1977), O.J.L. 70/69 May, 1978 162

xiii) Alliance de Constructeurs Francais de Machines-Outils, (1968) CMLR D23 169

xiv) Re Clima Chappee Agreement, (1970) CMLR D7 175

xv) Re the Agreement of Jaz S.A., (1970) CMLR 129 179

xvi) Musique Diffusion Francaise SA, C. Melchers & Co., Pioneer Electronic (Europe) NV andPioneer High Fidelity GB Limited v. E.C. Commission, (Cases 100-103/80), [1983] 3 CMLR 221 186

xvii) Re The Agreements of Ente Nazionale Idrocarburi ENI and Montedison SpA (Case IV/31.055) [1987] 1 CMLR 495 (Notice of the E.C. Commission) 189

xviii Restrictive Trade Practices Commission, Report Re Shipping Conference Arrangements and Practices (1965) (excerpts from the Report by the Director of Combines Investigation) 192

C. ARTICLE 86

1. INTRODUCTION 193

2. RELEVANT AND COMPARATIVE LEGISLATION

i) E.E.C. Treaty (Rome), Article 86 195

ia) Note re Merger Control Proposal (Common Market Reports, Number 589, October 8, 1987) 196

ii) Competition Act, (Canada) ss. 50, 51, 63-68 197

iii) Clayton Act, s. 7 200

iv) Sherman Act, s. 2 200

PAGE #

3. COMMENTARIES

i) McLachlan & Swann, Competition in the Common Market, (1968) 201

ii) J. Bain, Industrial Organizations 204

iii) A. Campbell, Common Market Law 207

iv) A. Campbell, Common Market Law (2d ed.) 208

v) A. Neale, The Anti-Trust Laws of the U.S.A. (2d ed) 209

vi) P. Samuelson, Economics (119th ed.) (U.S.) 210

vii) P. Samuelson, & A. Scott, Economics (3rd ed.) 212

4. CASE LAW

i) Re Gema, (1971) CMLR D35 214

ii) Re Continental Can, (1972) CMLR D11 222

iii) Europemballage Corp. v. Continental Can, (1973) CMLR 199 236

iv) Re United Brands Co., (1976) CMLR D28 243

v) United Brands Co. v. E.C. Commission, (1978) 1 CMLR D24 256

vi) The Community v. Hoffman Laroche, (1976) 2 CMLR D24 259

vii) General Motors v. E.C. Commission, (1976) 1 CMLR 95 267

viii) Re Netherland Michelin NV, (1982) 1 B L R 10 (S.C.C.) 270

ix) Centre Belge D'Etudes de marche-Tele-Marketing SA v. Compagnie Luxembourgeiose de Telediffusion SA, [1986] 2 CMLR 558 271

x) Regina v. K.C. Irving Ltd. (1977) 1 B L R 10 (S.C.C.) 282

D. INDUSTRIAL PROPERTY RIGHTS IN RELATION TO ARTICLES 85 AND 86

1. LEGISLATION

i) Commission Official Notice on Patent Licensing Agreements (December 24, 1962) 291

ii) Commission Regulation 2349/84 On the Application of Article 85(3) of the Treaty to Certain Categories of Patent Licensing Agreements 293

2. CASE LAW

i) Parke-Davis v. Probel, (1968) CMLR D47 296

ii) Centrafarm v. Sterling Drugs, (1974) CMLR 480 301

iii) Sirena v. EDA, (1971) CMLR 260 306

iv) Deutsche Grammophon v. Metro, (1971) CMLR 631 310

PAGE #

v) Grundig-Consten, (1966) CMLR 418 318

E. RECENT DEVELOPMENTS REGARDING THE STATE OF COMPETITION LAW IN THE E.E.C.

1. Commission of the European Communities, Nineteenth General Report on the Activities of the European Communities 1985, (Competition - Main Developments) 319

2. Commission of the European Communities, Sixteenth Report on Competition Policy Assesses Success, Failures in Competition Field in 1986, (CCH para. 10,900) 322

3. Dennis Swann, Competition and Industrial Policy in the European Community, (1983), pp. 45-49 (Note on State Aids) 324

4. Dennis Swann, Competition and Industrial Policy in the European Community, (1983), pp. 177-186 (Concluding assessment) 326

F. COMPETITION RULES AND JURISDICTION OVER FOREIGN CORPORATIONS

1. CASE LAW

i) Institute Chemiaterapico and Commercial Solvents v. E.C. Commission (1973) CMLR 577 331

ii) Europemballage Corporation & Continental Can v. E.C. Commission (1973) CMLR 199 335

iii) Imperial Chemical Industries v. E.C. Commission (1972) CMLR 557 336

iv) United States v. Aluminum Co. of America (Alcoa), (1945), 148 F. 2d 416 (U.S.C.A. 2d Circ.) 342

v) Timberlane Lumber Co. v. Bank of America, (1976) 549 F. 2d 597 (U.S.C.A. 9th Circ.) 343

2. COMMENTARIES

i) Note on jurisdiction over foreign corporations in anti-trust law 345

ii) W.C. Graham, "General Principles of International and Interprovincial Litigation" 348